

Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment

Lot 24 DP1053904

27 Bonnett Drive Run-O-Waters Goulburn



Report Prepared for Kimbla Pastoral Company Pty Ltd

16 July 2025

Document Control

Revision	Date	Author	Reviewed
V1	16/7/2025	Lyn O'Brien	Laterals

Disclaimer

Past Traces Pty Ltd has undertaken this assessment in accordance with the relevant Federal, State and Local Government legislation. Past Traces accepts no liability for any damages or loss incurred as a result of use for any purpose other than that for which it was commissioned.

Copyright of the report remains the property of Past Traces Pty Ltd. This report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned.

Restricted Information

Information contained within this report is culturally sensitive and should not be made publically available. The information that is restricted includes (but is not limited to):

- ❖ Maps, Mapping Grid Reference Co-ordinates or images for Aboriginal heritage sites, places and objects.
- ❖ Location or detailed information regarding places of Aboriginal cultural significance, as expressed or directed by Representative Aboriginal Organisations, Aboriginal elders, or members of the wider Aboriginal community.
- ❖ Other culturally appropriate restricted information as advised by Aboriginal representatives and traditional knowledge holders.

Information in the report covered by the above categories should be redacted before being made available to the general public. This information should only be made available to those persons with a just and reasonable need for access.

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	4
1.2 ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION	5
2 DESKTOP ASSESSMENT RESULTS.....	8
2.1 AHIMS SEARCH.....	8
2.2 ABORIGINAL GROUPS WITHIN THE PROJECT AREAS	10
2.3 PREVIOUS HERITAGE STUDIES	11
2.3.1 Regional Overview	11
2.3.2 Local Overview	12
2.3.3 Predictive Model	13
2.4 LANDFORM AND DISTURBANCE LEVEL ASSESSMENT	14
3 FIELD SURVEY RESULTS.....	16
3.1.1 Ground Surface Visibility	16
3.1.2 Disturbance.....	18
3.1.3 Results - Aboriginal Heritage Sites	18
3.1.4 Results - Areas of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD)	18
3.1.5 Summary	18
4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT.....	19
5 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	21
6 REFERENCES.....	22

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report provides Aboriginal heritage due diligence advice for the proposed residential subdivision of Lot 24 DP1053904. The land parcel is currently used as a rural residential house lot, located on 27 Bonnett Drive Run O Waters, on the southern outskirts of the town of Goulburn (Figure 1).

The concept proposal for the residential development will require staged earthworks and building over approximately 1.9ha (Figure 2). The subdivision proposal would involve the following impacts which would be limited to the areas of housing envelopes and access roads:

- ❖ Construction of housing foundations involving removal of top and subsoils
- ❖ Construction of access driveways to building envelopes
- ❖ Connection to infrastructure, such as water, communications and electricity
- ❖ Installation of boundary fencing and potential impacts from landscaping.

The property has been moderately impacted by the ongoing use of the property, for stock grazing and the installation of boundary fencing, the main residence, sheds, yards, landscaping of grounds and access driveways as shown on Figure 2.

This Due Diligence Aboriginal heritage assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in NSW* (DECCW 2010a).

No previously recorded Aboriginal heritage sites were present within the project area based on a review of previous reports and a search of the NSW Heritage database.

A field inspection was completed on the 15 July 2025 with the participation of the Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) which identified no heritage sites or areas of Potential archaeological deposits (PAD) within the project area boundaries.

As a result of the field survey and background research completed for the project, the following recommendations have been developed:

- ❖ No known heritage sites or areas of PAD will be affected by the proposed development.
- ❖ The development proposal should be able to proceed with no additional archaeological investigations as the potential for the project area to contain unrecorded Aboriginal heritage sites is assessed as low, based on landform and predictive modelling.
- ❖ In the event of any unexpected finds of Aboriginal heritage, works must cease, a minimum 10m buffer applied and fenced, and a heritage consultation contacted to assess the find.

1 INTRODUCTION

This report provides Aboriginal heritage due diligence advice for the proposed residential subdivision of Lot 24 DP1053904. The land parcel is currently used as a rural residential house lot, located on 27 Bonnett Drive Run O Waters, on the southern outskirts of the town of Goulburn (Figure 1).

The concept proposal for the residential development will require staged earthworks and building over approximately 1.9ha (Figure 2). The subdivision proposal would involve the following impacts which would be limited to the areas of housing envelopes and access roads:

- ❖ Construction of housing foundations involving removal of top and subsoils
- ❖ Construction of access driveways to building envelopes
- ❖ Connection to infrastructure, such as water, communications and electricity
- ❖ Installation of boundary fencing and potential impacts from landscaping.

These works are high impact and would have a negative impact on any Aboriginal heritage located within the project boundary. Aboriginal heritage sites may be located on the surface or subsurface in areas of high potential for the preservation of archaeological remains of past usage by Aboriginal groups.

To assess the potential impacts of the proposed works on Aboriginal heritage this Due Diligence Heritage Assessment has been undertaken. As the project is at the concept planning stage, any impacts to Aboriginal heritage should be able to be avoided through redesign of housing envelopes and placement of infrastructure.

This report, field survey and associated research has been conducted in accordance to the requirements of the *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales* (OEH 2010).

1.1 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The due diligence assessment is being undertaken to complete the following objectives:

- ❖ Review of the NSW Heritage, Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS), to identify any recorded heritage sites within the project area.
- ❖ Review of previous reports in area to develop predictive model of site location
- ❖ Assess landforms present in project area against predictive model to determine potential for heritage sites and determine level of disturbance
- ❖ Complete site visit to visually inspect impact areas or areas assessed as holding potential based on predictive model and record any identified heritage sites. The site visit will also document levels of disturbance within project area.

- ❖ Complete due diligence report with management recommendations to avoid or minimise impacts within the project area.

1.2 ABORIGINAL CONSULTATION

Consultation with the Aboriginal community has been undertaken with the inclusion of the Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council (LALC) in the field survey to provide information on cultural significance of the area and any identified sites. If impacts to Aboriginal heritage are found to occur as a result of the development, then consultation will be undertaken with the LALC and the wider Aboriginal community as required by NSW Heritage (2010).






Figure 1: Regional Context

Legend

-  Watercourse
-  Study Area
-  Primary Road
-  Major Road



Imagery: © Nearmap



NOTES:
1. Aerial photography derived from Near Map 3 March 2024.

PLAN
Showing Proposed Development
Lot 24 DP 1053904
27 Bonnett Drive, Run-O-Waters

Scale 1:800 on A3

Laterals Planning
41 Goldsmith Street (PO Box 1326)
Goulburn NSW 2580
Phone: 02 4821 0973 Fax 02 3821 0973
18C Winter Street, Tinonee NSW 2430
Mobile: 0427 210 973

Sheet No: 5
No of Sheets: 5
Date: 15 May 2025
PLAN NO:
SUBDIVISION PLAN
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH

2 DESKTOP ASSESSMENT RESULTS

2.1 AHIMS SEARCH

A search of the NSW Heritage AHIMS database was undertaken on the 30/6/2025 covering the 3km surrounding area centred on the project area. The extensive search revealed no previously recorded heritage site within the project area with 63 sites within the wider search area. The extended search is attached at Appendix 1.

The sites located in the wider search area conform to the wider site predictive model for the Goulburn Region (Fuller 1989, Packard 1986, AMBS 2012) consisting of small artefact scatters or isolated finds of stone artefacts. The number and proportion of site types recorded in the region are provided in Table 1. The site location model predicts the occurrence of small sites located on level ground or terrace features in proximity to water sources, with larger sites with subsurface deposits being present in proximity to water features such as creek confluence or major water sources. This predictive model is discussed in more detail in Section 2.2. The location of previously recorded sites provided in Table 1 are shown on Figure 2 in relation to the project area.

Table 1. AHIMS Site Details

Site Type	Number	Percentage in region
Isolated Find	13	19.40%
Artefact Scatter	46	68.66%
Potential Archaeological Deposit	4	5.97%
Culturally Modified Tree	3	4.48%
Stone Quarry	1	1.49%





Figure 3: Previously Recorded Heritage Sites

Legend

-
-  Watercourse
 Previously Recorded Site
 Study Area
 Primary Road
 Major Road
 Minor Road
 Track
 Path



Imagery: © Nearmap

PastTraces
Heritage Consultants

2.2 ABORIGINAL GROUPS WITHIN THE PROJECT AREAS

The major language group identified in the Goulburn region by Norman Tindale in his seminal work on Aboriginal tribal boundaries are the Ngunnawal and Gundungara people. The Ngunawal (Ngunnawal) were also known as the Yass tribe, Lake George Blacks or Molonglo tribe. The boundaries of the Ngunawal ran to the south east where they met the Ngarigo at the Molonglo and the Gundungara to the north of Lake George (Tindale 1974). This distribution with minor amendments is still accepted and the review of tribal boundaries undertaken in the 1990s (Horton 1996) confirmed these earlier linguistic divisions.

One of the best sources for observations of the Indigenous inhabitants of the Goulburn region is Charles MacAlister who lived in the district from the 1830s and noted many features and traditions of Aboriginal life (1907). His observations must be viewed as from a white perspective and filtered through his cultural traditions as with all cross cultural ethnography but despite these limitations his work is a valuable reference for the region. His reflections on the Aboriginal life of the region provide a glimpse of a functioning hunter and gatherer lifestyle with a cycle of repeated visits to areas at times of seasonable resource availability and a ceremonial life that imposed duties and responsibilities on members of the group.

The flat, rolling topography of the region and the lack of natural physical barriers (such as impassable gorges or rivers) would have facilitated contact and movement through the region. Broad ridgelines were often used for travelling distances through country, avoiding steep valleys and river gorges to reach resource areas. Another early recorder of Aboriginal life for the Goulburn region is Govett, who recorded that the Wollondilly River frontage was a focus of activity with eels, swans, ducks and other water birds being staples along with kangaroos, wallabies, possums, bandicoots, and emus (Govett 1977:29). Govett also described the practice of fire stick farming to herd the kangaroos for hunting – this also has the benefit of encouraging new growth and attracting kangaroos to specific areas. (Govett 1977:23). These observations on Aboriginal life and the role of the Wollondilly are consistent with the later remembering's of MacAlister (1907:88).

Disease followed the settlement of the area and may have preceded it with the smallpox epidemic originating in Sydney in 1789 possibly spreading throughout the region (Flood 1980:32). This disease would have decimated the Aboriginal population and was followed by Influenza in 1846. The notable decline of the number of the Aboriginal people was noted in 1845 at Bungonia and in 1848 at Goulburn by the Bench of Magistrates (Tazewell 1991:244).

2.3 PREVIOUS HERITAGE STUDIES

A large number of cultural heritage surface surveys and sub-surface excavations have been conducted throughout wider Goulburn region of New South Wales in the past 30 years. There has been an increasing focus on cultural heritage assessments in NSW due to ever increasing development, along with the legislative requirements for this work and greater cultural awareness of Aboriginal cultural heritage. This body of work allows for the development of regional settlement models; landscape usage; the use of resources; group movements; and site locations for the region. The most relevant reports for the current project are summarised below.

2.3.1 Regional Overview

The region of the project area has been extensively studied due to the high prevalence of developments within this area. Of these reports the most relevant are summarised below to provide an understanding of site location model and site formation processes in this area.

Koettig in 1983 undertook an assessment including field survey of the proposed Goulburn By-Pass covering a length of approximately 11km. This study was the first in the direct area and located 22 artefact scatters and 17 isolated finds. The sites were located within the undulating slopes all within 200m of a water course. 54% of sites were located on slopes, 23% on ridges and 23% along creek or river flats. Only one site (G17) was large, consisting of stratified deposits of artefacts. This site was located on the eastern bank of the Mulwaree River near the junction with Gundary Creek. A model of larger sites in association with major water courses, with smaller sites near smaller creeks was formulated.

Koettig and Lance in 1986 undertook the Aboriginal Resources Planning Study for the City of Goulburn. Based on all available data they developed an Aboriginal site location model for Goulburn. Four landscape zones based on topography (major watercourse, undulating hills and plains, hills and residential areas) were assigned archaeological sensitivity ratings. A review of previously identified sites within the Goulburn region found artefact scatters were the predominant site class within the undulating hills and plains zones. The majority of these sites are located on basal slopes close to major waterways and they classified this landform as holding high sensitivity.

Fuller in 1989 was engaged by Goulburn City Council to test Lance and Koettig's 1986 model by undertaking sub surface testing at areas designated high sensitivity by the model. The results of this large excavation program, although supporting the overall model, concluded that all areas apart from level terraces or flats near major watercourses were of low potential and that further subdivisions were necessary in the undulating hills category if it was to be useful for predicting site locations.

AMBS in 2012 completed a Local Government Area wide study for the Goulburn-Mulwaree Council. This study followed on from the work of Fuller (1989) and assessed the general importance and archaeological sensitivity of different landforms. Based on their desktop review of previous work undertaken throughout the region they found that Fullers model was supported and applicable for predicting site locations.

2.3.2 Local Overview

A number of heritage assessments have been completed in the immediate vicinity of the project area, centred on the southern area of Goulburn. The most relevant are summarised below.

South East Archaeology (1996) completed a survey over lots 2 -4 DP835933 for a proposed 47ha subdivision. This survey located two aboriginal sites, 51-6-0081 consisting of two silcrete flakes and one isolated quartz flake (51-6-0082). One of these sites 51-6-0081 is within the current project boundary and is located on midslopes 150m east of a minor drainage line. Both sites were found in erosion scours near a fence. The potential for additional artefacts or subsurface deposits was considered low and the sites classified as holding low significance (1996:9).

Koettig (1987) completed field survey and test excavation south east of the current project area at the junction of Garoorigang Road and the Hume Highway. The field survey located one artefact scatter and 15 isolated finds. Test excavations then revealed two sites with a total of 80 artefacts mainly constructed on silcrete.

NOHC (2003) completed a heritage assessment for the proposed Pictura Tourist Complex, located to the south west of the current project area. One low density surface scatter was located on a spur line middle slopes and the area was assessed as holding low potential.

NOHC (2005) completed the assessment for the Ducks Lane Infrastructure project on the northern boundary of the Hume Highway. Although the area was classified as low potential, two areas of PAD and a number of small surface scatters were recorded focused on a small creek line which bisected the area. These sites were subject to test excavations and salvage (NOHC 2006) revealing low density deposits.

AHS (2007) conducted an archaeological assessment for proposed subdivision at Run-O-Waters. Five new Aboriginal sites and one PAD was identified during the survey. All the sites located were within crest, lower or upper slope landforms. The highest density artefact scatter consisted of 53 artefacts and was located on the upper slope of a ridge (Saunders 2007: 20).

Archaeological heritage surveys in 2008 completed an Aboriginal Archaeological Assessment for the proposed subdivision of Lot 4 DP835933. This assessment covered a portion of the area covered by SE Archaeology in 1996. No sites were recorded in 1996 within this portion and the 2008 assessment recorded no aboriginal sites or areas of potential within the project area and classified the area as holding low potential for archaeological sites.

Biosis in 2016 completed an assessment for the proposed Ducks Lane Residential Development adjacent to the area previously investigated by NOHC. This area was assessed to hold moderate potential based on the presence of two small creek lines with a gently sloping aspect. Areas of PAD along the creek line which based on modelling held moderate potential were tested with nil results. Two small sites were identified within the project area, both on creek line lower slopes.

Past Traces in 2018 completed a due diligence assessment for the subdivision of Lot 2-4 DP882289 and Lot 4 DP83933 on Shannon Drive Goulburn. The area had previously been surveyed by AHS in 2007. One area of PAD was identified with no surface sites being recorded. The area was assessed as holding low potential due to previous impacts and the lack of water resources to focus utilisation of the area.

Past Traces completed a due diligence assessment on Pockley Drive for a future residential development covering an area of 23ha in 2019. The area had been subject to high levels of cropping and grazing and no areas of heritage sites or potential were identified.

2.3.3 Predictive Model

Based on the previous assessments completed through the region site locations and types can be summarised as follows:

- ❖ the majority of open artefact scatters are located near creek lines, particularly on reasonably level, elevated ground and low gradient basal slopes
- ❖ relatively large artefact scatters occur most frequently within 100-150m of major drainage lines, with a possible preference for creek confluences,
- ❖ artefact scatters occurring away from major creek lines tend to be small and sparse, and focused on hill top or ridge crest landforms,
- ❖ scarred trees may occur wherever old growth trees of sufficient age have survived (locally at least 140-150 years); and
- ❖ stone procurement sites may occur where rock suitable for stone tool manufacture is present on the surface.

The following predictive model has been developed for the project area (Table 2).

Table 2 Site Prediction Model

Probability	Site Type	Definition	Landform
Low	Isolated finds and surface scatters of stone artefacts	Stone artefacts ranging from single artefact to high numbers	Mainly creek lines and spur crests. Both features are not present within the study area.
Nil	Potential Archaeological Deposits (PADS)	Area considered on landform to hold higher potential for unidentified subsurface deposits	Varies, but most frequent on elevated terraces along creek lines and spurlines - not present
Low	Culturally Modified Trees (CMTs)	Trees which have been modified by scarring, marking or branch twining	Wherever old remnant trees remain - single examples present

Probability	Site Type	Definition	Landform
Nil	Rock Engravings	Images engraved on flat rock surfaces	Escarpments, rock platforms or rock shelters - not present
Nil	Stone arrangements	Arrangements of stones by human intention, including circles lines or patterns.	Crest lines or large ceremonial areas on creekflats, but may occur on any landform
Nil	Stone quarries/Ochre sources	Quarry sites where resources have been mined.	Any landform.
Nil	Axe grinding grooves	Grooves in stone caused by the grinding of stone axes	Usually in creek lines, as water is used as abrasive with sand
Nil	Burials	Burials of Aboriginal persons	Usually requiring deep sandy soils on eastern facing slopes

2.4 LANDFORM AND DISTURBANCE LEVEL ASSESSMENT

The landforms within the project area consist of gentle midslopes. Water sources are not present naturally.

The project area has been impacted by European settlement from the mid nineteenth century. The project area has as a result been under continual grazing and pastoral regimes over a lengthy period of time. These past use impacts are typical for the Goulburn and Southern Tablelands region and consist of the following:

- ❖ Vegetation and tree clearance
- ❖ Stock impacts
- ❖ Fencing
- ❖ Vehicle tracks – some consisting of minor roads, other of impact trails
- ❖ Extensive impacts in areas of housing including landscaping
- ❖ Construction of sheds, outbuildings and yards

All of these landscape and soil impacts reduce the potential for archaeological or heritage sites to remain intact within the landscape. Confined areas of disturbance are present at gates and along fence lines. Exposed ground is present in areas of stock impact, vehicle traces, fence lines, under trees and areas of erosion.

Review of previous sites located in the vicinity indicates a site location model based on level areas in proximity to water resources such as creek lines with smaller sites located on hilltop ridgelines. The

study area consists of mid hill slopes classified by Fuller as holding low overall low potential for heritage sites.

3 FIELD SURVEY RESULTS

A field survey of the project area was undertaken on the 15th of July 2025 with participation from Pejar LALC to verify the findings of the desktop review of landforms and disturbance. The aim of the investigation was to identify heritage objects or places of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) within the project area. Based upon the background research, known Aboriginal site patterning, and current aerial photography, the entire project area was physically inspected.

All surveyed areas and items of interest were recorded on a topographic map of the study area (using a GPS and GDA94 MGA55 coordinates), along with levels of visibility, erosion, soil conditions, and evidence of land disturbance. The site visit resulted in the following findings.

3.1.1 Ground Surface Visibility

Ground surface visibility (GSV) is the percentage of ground surface that is visible during the field inspection. GSV increases in areas of exposures such as stock impact trails, roads, gates and along areas of erosion such as creek banks and dam walls. As a result, surveys undertaken in areas with high exposure rates result in a more effective survey coverage.

GSV over most of the study area was low to moderate due to extensive grass coverage across the property. The GSV varied across the property but averaged at approximately 30%. The central area of the property forms the main residence with a large shed present. The area east of the residence has been used as two small horse paddocks with the horse fencing having been installed with concrete footings. The construction of the various structures, asphalt/gravelled driveway and heavy landscaping across the property have removed all subsurface potential within these areas.

Due to the extensive grass coverage, few exposures were present. Exposures were also more prevalent along the property's fence lines and gate entrances and revealed both natural and imported gravels.

The conditions at the time of the field survey are shown in plates 1 to 6.



Plate 1. View of northern boundary with removed tree stump (facing west)



Plate 2. Area of house and shed (northeast)



Plate 3. West paddock area towards northwest corner (northwest)



Plate 4. West paddock area (northwest)



Plate 5. East paddock with horse yards (north)



Plate 6. Entrance to the property with asphalt driveway (west)

3.1.2 Disturbance

The degree of disturbance across the study area was assessed as moderate to high due to the impacts of horses, landscaping and the original clearance of vegetation. Within the area of the main residence, driveway, sheds and buildings the disturbance levels were high and removing all potential for heritage sites.

3.1.3 Results - Aboriginal Heritage Sites

No surface sites were identified during the field survey. As a result, no known heritage sites will be affected by the proposed development.

3.1.4 Results - Areas of Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD)

Areas of PAD are defined as landforms that hold higher potential than their surrounds to contain subsurface deposits of past Aboriginal occupation. Based on a review of previous studies completed for the region, areas of PAD would be located in association with waterways (1st or 2nd order streams) on level ground or along spur crest and ridge lines.

None of these high potential landforms are present within the project area.

3.1.5 Summary

As a result of the site visit, and background research, it is considered that the project has low potential to impact on unrecorded Aboriginal heritage sites. The subsurface potential for the project area is considered low due to the level of previous impacts across the majority of the project area and location on midslopes.

4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The impacts from the subdivision would be confined to areas of building envelopes, fencing, landscaping and installation of above ground and underground infrastructure. Desktop review of heritage listings and reports has shown that no previously recorded sites are present within the project area. Field survey has been completed which did not identify any heritage sites within the project boundaries.

As a result, no known heritage sites will be impacted by the proposed development.

The project area is located across mid slopes and the gentle gradients are considered to hold low potential for unrecorded heritage sites or subsurface deposits based on predictive modelling.

Based on the assessment the impacts from the project based on the desktop assessment and site visit are as follows:

- ❖ No known Aboriginal objects or places will be impacted by the proposed works
- ❖ No areas of potential to contain unrecorded Aboriginal objects or places are present in the project area

The Code provides a flowchart of six questions to identify the presence of and potential harm to Aboriginal heritage. These questions and their applicability to the project are shown in Figure 4. The responses to these questions determine if further heritage investigations are required.




Figure 4. Flowchart of Due Diligence process (DECCW 2010: 10)

5 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this due diligence assessment the following actions are recommended for the project.

Recommendation 1: Works to proceed without further heritage assessment with caution.

The proposed works can proceed without further assessment as no Aboriginal or historical heritage sites (objects or places) have been identified within the project area. The potential for impacting on unrecorded heritage sites within the project area is assessed as extremely low, based on landform analysis and field survey.

Recommendation 2: Discovery of Unidentified Aboriginal cultural material during works.

Under the *NPW Act 1977* all Aboriginal places and objects are protected from harm, even if they have not been previously identified during the assessment process. If Aboriginal material is discovered during works then the steps as outlined below should be followed:

- ❖ All work must cease in the vicinity of the find and project manager notified immediately.
- ❖ A buffer zone of 10m should be fenced in all direction of the find and construction personnel made aware of the 'no go' zone.
- ❖ NSW Heritage must be notified of the find and advice sought on the proper steps to be undertaken.
- ❖ After confirmation with NSW Heritage a heritage consultation should be engaged to undertake assessment of the find and provide appropriate management recommendations to the proponent.

Recommendation 3: Discovery of Human Remains

In the highly unlikely event that human remains are discovered during any construction work, than all activity in the vicinity of the find must cease. As a first step the local police must be notified, followed by NSW Heritage and advice sought on appropriate next actions. No work can continue on the site until cleared with police and NSW Heritage.

Recommendation 4: Alteration of impact footprint

Further archaeological assessment would be required if the proposal activity extends beyond the area of the current investigation.

Implementation of the above management recommendations will result in low potential for the project to impact on heritage values or result in damage to heritage sites.

6 REFERENCES

- Archaeological Heritage Surveys. (2007). *Proposed Subdivision Lot 355 DP750015 Run-O-Waters Dive Goulburn NSW Archaeological Assessment*. Report to Laterals Environmental.
- Archaeological Heritage Surveys. (2008). *Proposed Subdivision of Lot 4 DP835933 Ridge Street Goulburn NSW Aboriginal Archaeological Assessment*. Report for Southern Cross Consulting Surveyors.
- Australian Museum Business Services . (2012). *Goulburn Mulwaree LGA Aboriginal Heritage Study* . Report for Goulburn Mulwaree Council .
- Biosis . (2016). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report: Ducks Lane Residential Development Goulburn NSW*. Report to Lateral Engineering and Management Pty Ltd.
- DECCW. (2010). *Due Diligence Code of Practice for the Protection of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales*. Sydney: DECCW.
- Flood, J. (1980). *The Moth Hunters* . Canberra: Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies .
- Fuller, N. (1989). *Goulburn City - An Archaeological Investigation of Site Location* . Report to Greater Argyle City Council .
- Govett, W. (1977). *Sketches of New South Wales: Written and illustrated for the Saturday Magazine in 1836-37*. Melbourne: Gaston Renard.
- Horton, D. (1994). *The Encyclopedea of Aboriginal Australia: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander History, Society and Culture*. Canberra: Aboriginal Press Studies.
- Koettig, M. (1987). *Test Excavations at Lot 2 DP 702730 corner of Garroorigang Road and the Hume Highway Goulburn Southern Tablelands New South Wales*. Report to Tony Corkhill and Co Pty Ltd .
- Koettig, M. (1983). *Survey for Aboriginal and Historic Archaeological Sites along the Proposed Goulburn By-pass*. Report to Department of Main Roads.
- Lance, A. and Koettig, M. . (1986). *An Aboriginal Resources Planning Study for the City of Goulburn NSW*. Report to Goulburn City Council.
- Navin Officer Heritage Consultants . (2005). *Ducks Lane Infrastructure Project Goulburn NSW - Cultural Heritage Assessment* . Report to GHD Pty Ltd .
- Navin Officer Heritage Consultants . (2005). *Pictura Tourist Complex Goulburn NSW* . Report to URS Pty Ltd .
- Past Traces . (2018). *Aboriginal Due Diligence Assessment: Subdivision Lot 2-4 DP882289 and Lot 4 DP83933 Shannon Drive Goulburn* . Report for Spacelab Pty Ltd .

- Past Traces. (2019). *Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment Lot 10 DP625626 Run-O-Waters Goulburn*. Report prepared for Marama Superannuation Fund .
- South East Archaeology. (1996). *An Archaeological Assessment of Lots 2-4 DP835933 Goulburn NSW*. Report to REME Pty Ltd.
- Tazewell, S. (1981). Early Goulburn History. *Goulburn Historical Society Bulletin September* .
- Tindale, N. (1974). *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia*. Canberra: ANU Press.

APPENDIX 1. AHIMS SITE SEARCH

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-6-0009	Goulburn, G04	AGD	55	746540	6148400	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	Contact	Recorders	Rex Silcox Permits							
51-6-0754	GR-4	GDA	55	743563	6150124	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0755	GR-5	GDA	55	743587	6150677	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0014	Goulburn, G10	AGD	55	747010	6148230	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	Contact	Recorders	Rex Silcox Permits							
51-6-0040	GC04	AGD	55	746600	6148100	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1578
	Contact	Recorders	Ms.N Fuller Permits							
51-6-0018	Goulburn, G14	AGD	55	747150	6147200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	Contact	Recorders	Rex Silcox Permits							
51-6-0515	ROWDAS2	AGD	55	743357	6149648	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 3		100688
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Ms.Trish Saunders Permits							
51-6-0032	Goulburn, G23	AGD	55	746220	6148480	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495,1243,1321, 99368
	Contact	Recorders	Margrit Koettig Permits 36							
51-6-0082	Garrorigane 2	AGD	55	745700	6149400	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Isolated Find	99368
	Contact	Recorders	Mr.Peter Kuskie Permits							
51-6-0751	GR-1	GDA	55	743455	6150468	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0752	GR-2	GDA	55	743333	6150289	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0753	GR-3	GDA	55	743544	6150132	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0027	Goulburn, G07	AGD	55	746800	6148250	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	Contact	Recorders	Rex Silcox Permits							
51-6-0472	HS2	GDA	55	744445	6147820	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 40		
	Contact Searle	Recorders	Pejar Local Aboriginal Land Council Permits							
51-6-0845	Tait2	GDA	55	747383	6148804	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -, Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	Contact	Recorders	Ms.Lyn O'Brien,Ms.Lyn O'Brien,Past Traces Pty Ltd,Past Traces Pty Ltd Permits							
51-6-0015	Goulburn, G11	AGD	55	747150	6148320	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	Contact	Recorders	Rex Silcox Permits							
51-6-0037	GC03	AGD	55	744445	6148460	Open site	Valid	Stone Quarry : -, Artefact : -	Quarry	1210
	Contact	Recorders	Doctor.Tim Stone Permits							

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-6-0397	Ducks Lane 7 (DL7)	AGD	55	745874	6149039	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 8		99717
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	Navin Officer Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>	2459	
51-6-0518	ROWDAS5	AGD	55	743367	6149415	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		100688
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	Ms.Trish Saunders					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0012	Goulburn, G08	AGD	55	746750	6148200	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0013	Goulburn, G09	AGD	55	746950	6148220	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0017	Goulburn, G13	AGD	55	747070	6148320	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0121	PA1	AGD	55	744650	6148420	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		98767,99368,103424,104907
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Navin Officer Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0395	Ducks Lane 5 (DL5)	AGD	55	745844	6148506	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99717
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	Navin Officer Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>	2459	
51-6-0396	Ducks Lane 6 (DL6)	AGD	55	745960	6149443	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99717
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	Navin Officer Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>	2459	
51-6-0864	DIF1	GDA	55	744760	6148624	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		104113
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Biosis Pty Ltd - Canberra					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0033	Goulburn, G24	AGD	55	746480	6148500	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495,1321
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Margrit Koettig					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0756	GR-6	GDA	55	743703	6150300	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Ms.Ana Jakovljevic					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0016	Goulburn, G12	AGD	55	747070	6148310	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0844	Tait1	GDA	55	747371	6148653	Open site	Partially Destroyed	Artefact : -, Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Ms.Lyn O'Brien,Ms.Lyn O'Brien,Past Traces Pty Ltd,Past Traces Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0391	Ducks Lane 1 (DL1)	AGD	55	744768	6148543	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99717,103424,104907
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	Navin Officer Heritage Consultants Pty Ltd					<u>Permits</u>	2459	
51-6-0006	Goulburn, G01	AGD	55	745650	6148230	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495,99368
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0007	Goulburn, G02	AGD	55	746020	6148350	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495,99368
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Rex Silcox					<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0038	GC02	AGD	55	744400	6148450	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1210
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	Doctor.Tim Stone					<u>Permits</u>		

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
51-6-0019	Goulburn, G15	AGD	55	747240	6148380	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0471	HS1	GDA	55	745089	6147872	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 2		
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0474	HS4	GDA	55	744265	6147537	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0394	Ducks Lane 4 (DL4)	AGD	55	745755	6148438	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99717
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u> 2459							
51-6-0398	Ducks Lane PAD (DLPAD)	AGD	55	744717	6148342	Open site	Valid	Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		99717,103424, 104907
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0516	ROWDAS3	AGD	55	743643	6149554	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		100688
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0010	Goulburn, G05	GDA	55	746918	6148613	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0011	Goulburn, G06	AGD	55	746650	6148250	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0481	RR1, Goulburn	GDA	55	744327	6150509	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 3		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0048	GC12	AGD	55	746130	6150230	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1578
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0081	Garrorigane 1	AGD	55	745650	6149580	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	99368
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0049	GC13	AGD	55	746070	6150150	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1578
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0939	Cathcart Street Goulburn Ring Tree	GDA	55	746484	6149399	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0020	Goulburn, G16	AGD	55	747310	6148400	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0473	HS3	GDA	55	744258	6147498	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0475	HS5	AGD	55	744308	6147385	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>	<u>Permits</u>							
51-6-0483	HS6	GDA	55	744387	6147426	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		

SiteID	SiteName	Datum	Zone	Easting	Northing	Context	Site Status **	SiteFeatures	SiteTypes	Reports
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0008	Goulburn, G03	AGD	55	746320	6148400	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	495,99368
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0392	Ducks Lane 2 and PAD (DL2&PAD) - not a site	AGD	55	744744	6148135	Open site	Not a Site	Artefact : 1, Potential Archaeological Deposit (PAD) : -		99717,100227, 103424,10490 7
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>	2458	
51-6-0525	ROWDAS6	AGD	55	743100	6149880	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		100688
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0001	Yarra Railway Station	AGD	55	745900	6147600	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -	Carved Tree	99368
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0047	GC11	AGD	55	745950	6150550	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1578
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0869	Tait 3	GDA	55	747685	6148775	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0039	GC01	AGD	55	744395	6148440	Open site	Valid	Artefact : -	Open Camp Site	1210
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0482	HS7	GDA	55	744565	6147642	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 10		
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0393	Ducks Lane 3 (DL3)	AGD	55	745477	6148310	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 1		99717
	<u>Contact</u> T Russell	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>	2459	
51-6-0514	ROWDAS1	AGD	55	743252	6149727	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 11		100688
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0517	ROWDAS4	AGD	55	743846	6149618	Open site	Valid	Artefact : 53		100688
	<u>Contact</u> Searle	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		
51-6-0940	Finlay & Robinson Street Goulburn Scar Tree	GDA	55	746594	6149323	Open site	Valid	Modified Tree (Carved or Scarred) : -		
	<u>Contact</u>	<u>Recorders</u>						<u>Permits</u>		

** Site Status

Valid - The site has been recorded and accepted onto the system as valid

Destroyed - The site has been completely impacted or harmed usually as consequence of permit activity but sometimes also after natural events. There is nothing left of the site on the ground but proponents should proceed with caution.

Partially Destroyed - The site has been only partially impacted or harmed usually as consequence of permit activity but sometimes also after natural events. There might be parts or sections of the original site still present on the ground

Not a site - The site has been originally entered and accepted onto AHIMS as a valid site but after further investigations it was decided it is NOT an aboriginal site. Impact of this type of site does not require permit but Heritage NSW should be notified